Seed on 20 mil

18 June 1973

Aleks KURGVEL

TO THE IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

Subject - Request for LEGAL ADVICE and help in my dispute with the NEW YORK STATE
TAX AUTHORITIES concerning the taxes from my earninge in Washington. D.C.
during the years 1952,1953,1954 and 1955.

References -

1) the letter of the N.Y. State Tax Commission's Rearing officer Mr. Paul B. COBURN dated 05 March 1973. and

 the DECISION of the N.Y. State Tax Commission made in Albany on O5. Mar 1973, the copies of both documents being here attached.

These documents show the present stand of the dispute: I have either to file a claim against the a/m Decision with the Supreme Court of the New York State prior to o4 July 1967, or I have to pay the assessed taxes and iterests, all together approximately 3 1,140.

I am sick and tired of this dispute which has now been dragging for hearly eight years. It has taken much of my enery and time and a lot of money to the legal advisors of past, from whom I have had no practical help. I am still convinced that the justice is on my sids, but I am not sure that some unknown to me legal technicality might be against me. Also, if going to court, there some questions might be asked regarding the character of my work and my connections with the attorney, Mr. John F.B.MITCHELL, to whom I was advised to turn for help by my supervisor in 1965/66.

In the light of the aforesaid I would be thankful if quich and competent legal advice and help could be given to me in the following questions:

- 1) Provided that I pay the assessed taxes and interests from the enrings of 1962-65, will this then be all, or will the NEW YORK Tax authorities then have the possibility and the precedence to start new tax claims against me for the years from 1966 up until to the end of my service in Washington? They have not done this yet.
- 2) What is the statut of limitation of such cases 3 years, 6 years or unlimited?
- 3) Will the City of New York become automatically also the right for taxation of those my Washington earnings?
 - How much approximately would it cost on different fees when going to Court even if I would not take a layer to represent me, and when winning or loving the case?
 - In case that our lawyers will find it advisable for me to go to court, would the Employer give me some proof that my job and I myself were transfered from Germany in February 1953 directly to Washington, D.C. without establishing the domicile in New York, and could I be given some help as to the formalities of filing the

suit.

Suit.

Addiched. Letters mentioned zoove, and info cn. 10 nore sheets.

Respectfully yours,

Is This allowed in most I have a lawyer tonepresent me?

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACTUATE 2008

الرائم المائل المرابع المائل الم المائل المائل

And dord break

the state of the back of the b

المراجعة ال

الماري ال

f.

DEFINITIONS

- According to the officiel "NEW YORKS STATE TAX FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING," issued in Recident Packets es well as in Namesident Peckete for the yeare 1962 through 1965, in eaid -
- "A RESIDENT is a person who (e) ie domiciled in the Stete of New York or (b), even though domiciled outside New York Stete, maintains a permanent place of abode within the State and spends e total of more than 183 days of the taxable year within the State...."
- "A MONRESIDENT is any person not coming within the definition of a recident or who, though domiciled in New York, fulfills ell three of the following conditions:
- (1) He did not maintain a permanent place of abode in New York during the taxable year, (2) did maintain a permanent place of abode outside New York during the entire taxable year and (3) epent more than thirty days in New York during the taxable year.

"For the purpose of the above definitions, domicile in general, means the place which an individual intende to be his permanent hame - the place to which he intende to return whenever he may be absent. A person can have only one domicile..."

- 2) According to the NEW YORK PERSONAL INCOME TAX LAW"
 Sec. 605*** (a)*** A recident individual means an individual:
 - (1) who ie domiciled in the State, unless he maintaine no permanent place of abode in thie etete, maintaine a permanent place of abode elsewhere, and epende in the aggregate not more than thirty days of the taxeble year in this state, or
- (2) who is not domiciled in this etate but mainteine a permanent place of ebode in this etate and epends in the aggregate more than one hundred eightytree days of the taxeble year in thid dtste. "
- Sec.605 (c) A pormanent plece of of abode meene a dwelling place permanently maintained by the taxpayer, whether or not owned by him, and will generally include a dwelling place owned or leased by his or her epouse:

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the idatter of the Petition

of

ALEKS & SALME KURGVEL

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency: or for Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax:
Law for the Years 1963, 1963, 1964 and
1965:

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE TAM COMMISSION:

PAUL D. COBURN, Hearing Officer

of Counsel

FOR THE INCOME TAX BUREAU:

SAUL HECKELMAN, ESQ.,
Director of Law Bureau,
Building 9, Room 214A,
Albany, N.Y. 12227
BY: FRAUCIS X. BOYLAN, ESQ.,

FORMAL HEARING held at 80 Centre Street,

New York, New York, on December 14, 1972, at 10:15 a.m.

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

CERTIFIED STENOTYPE REPORTERS

150 NASSAU STREET

NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038

CORTLANDT 7- 38778

PETITIONER:

ALEKS & SALHE KURGVEL 3602 16th Street, H.W., Washington, D.C. 20010

7

7

.8

10

. .

13

14

16

17

19

21

24

MR. COBURN: This is a formal hearing in the matter of the petition of Aleks and Salme Kurgvel for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965.

Now, sir, this is the procedure that we follow in these cases.

The Income Tax Bureau first puts into evidence the jurisdictional papers in the case. This is done so we know what are the issues before us.

After that the petitioner may present such sworn testimony and such documentary evidence as he desires in support of his petition.

Any witness testifying is subject

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE

to cross-examination.

16

20

In connection with the presentation of your case, I wish to remind you of two factors.

First, the burden of proof is upon the petitioner; second, at prior formal or informal conferences or by mail you may have submitted documentary materials to the Income Tax Bureau.

If you wish those documentary materials to be considered by the State Tax Commission on this hearing you must reintroduce them into evidence during the course of the hearing.

At the conclusion of the taking of testimony each side will have an opportunity to present such arguments as to law and fact as they desire.

Would you proceed for the Bureau, please, Mr. Boylan?

MR. BOYLAN: Yes. I offer the jurisdictional papers, the petition sworn to January 28, 1967, with attachments; the notice of deficiency for the years 1962,

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE

163, 164, dated November 14, 1966, and a statement of audit changes under the same dates for those years, together with an --earlier statement of audit changes for those years dated March 28, 1966. MR. COBURN: Show them to the taxpayer. (Handed to Mr. Kurgvel.) MR. BOYLAN: For the year 1965 I. offer a notice of deficiency dated December 19, 1966, and a statement of audit changes for that year dated under the same date. MR. COBURN: Show that to the taxpayer, please. (Handed to Mr. Kurgvel.) HR. BOYLAN: I also offer the returns for the years '62, '63, '64 and '65. HR. COBURN: I just want you to look at them, sir. MR. KURGVEL: Well it wouldn't help me much.

.10

13

17

18

21

22

25

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE 150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CORTLANDT 7-387

HR. COBURN: No. I have to mark

to me, then, when you are finished looking

them into evidence. Will you hand them

at them so I c n mark them into evidence?

Department of Taxation and Finance
Exhibit A is a statement of audit changes
dated November 14, 1966, addressed to Aleks
and Salme Kurgvel.

(Document so marked.)

MR. COBURN: Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit B is a statement of audit changes, dated March 28, 1966, addressed to Aleks and Salme Kurgvel.

(Document so marked.)

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

HR. COBURN: Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit C is a notice of deficiency dated Movember 14, 1965, addressed to Aleks and Salme Kurgvel.

(Document so marked.)

MR. COBURN: All of these documents relate to the years 1962, 1963 and 1964.

Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit D is a statement of audit changes dated December 19, 1966, addressed to Aleks Kurgvel.

(Document. so marked.)

MR. COBURN: Department of Taxation

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

ficiency dated December 19, 1966, addressed to Aleks Kurgvel. (Document so marked.) MR. COBURN: 'The latter two documents relate to the taxable year 1965. Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit F is a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax for the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965, sworn to by petitioner's representative on January 28, 1967. (Document so marked.) HR. COBURN: Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit G is New York State combined income tax return for the year 1962 for Salme and Aleks Kurgvel with a withholding statement and a claim for resident tax credit attached thereto. (Documents so marked.) MR. COBURN: Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit II is New York State

10

11

12

13

15

-16

17

10

19

20

21

22

23

2.1

and Finance D: wibit D is a notice of de-

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE 150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038. CORTLANDT 7-3877

income tax resident return for the year

1963 for Aleks and Salme L. Kurgvel.

HR. KUR VEL: "E." Salme, "E."

MR. COBURN: Sir, I am just reciting what's on the document.

HR. KURGVEL: I see.

MR. COBURN: It is not important.

MR. KURGVEL: Yes.

MR. COBURN: And attached thereto is a withholding tax statement, a voucher for income tax refund and a claim for resident tax credit.

(Document so marked.)

MR. COBURN: Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit I is New York State income tax resident return for the year 1964 for Aleks and Salme E. Kurgvel, and attached thereto is a withholding statement, several schedules and a claim for resident tax credit.

(Document so marked.)

16

20

22

25

MR. COBURN: Department of Taxation and Finance Exhibit J is New York State combined income tax return for the year 1965 for Aleks and Salme E. Kurgvel and attached thereto is a withholding statement, a

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE 150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 1003B. CORTLANDT 7-3877

copy of a 1965 (ashington, D. C. tax return, a letter and a claim for resident tax credit. (Documents so marked.) HR. COBURN: Do you have any further documents, Mr. Boylan? MR. BOYLAN: No, I don't, Mr. Coburn. KURGVEL, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn by the 10 Hearing Officer, was examined and testified as follows: HR. COBURN: Keep your voice up. 13 And will you give your name and address to the Hearing Reporter, please? THE WITNESS: Aleks Kurgvel, address 16 3602 16th Street Northwest, Washington, D. C. 20010. 18 MR. COBURN: Now, sir, in examining 19 the various documents, I gather it's your contention that you were domiciled in Washington, D. C. in the years in ques-22 tion? THE WITHESS: Yes, sir.

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE.

150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CORTLANDT 7, 3877

25

MR. COBURN: And it is, therefore,

your contentic - also that you are entitled to the resident tax credit for those years?

THE WITHESS: Not resident tax eredit. As to my understanding I have not -- well, I do not need to pay any taxes in New York State, because I have had no income here. Also, you might find some controller's leafs what I said now, because on one of the first hearings I agreed to pay some taxes but under protest, and only for the purpose of getting that burden off of me.

Also, I did not find that I was really compelled to pay it.

13

18

19

20

22

23

24

MR. COBURN: I see. Then you take the position, sir, you are not a resident for the years in question?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

.MR. COBURN: That you earned no income in New York?

THE WITNESS: That's right.

HR. COBURN: And, therefore, you don't have to pay any tax to the Bureau? THE WITNESS: Right. But as I said,

to have the burden off my shoulders, and

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

at that time ' didn't take more than a couple of hundred, and on the advice of my attorney at that time I agreed to pay

MR. COBURN: Okay. Sir, will you proceed with your testimony?

mind, I will give you a short run as of my being in the United States.

I came to the United States in February 1953. I was employed by the Government, U. S. Government, in Europe already.

I was brought here and the job was waiting for me in Washington, D.C.

14

15

17

Also, my wife and our younger son had arrived in the United States earlier, they settled down in New York, she was working here, the boy was still going to school.

They had just one-room apartment when I arrived and there was no -- well, no wish nor any possibilities for me to stay with them in New York because my job was foreseen, which I had agreed to take it in Washington, D.C. and there I have been

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE 30 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CORTLANDT 7-38 working since then up to today.

13

18:

19

22

23

25

It is true that in 1964 -- no, pardon me, '63, we bought the house in Elmhurst, New York. It's a small house with two apartments, one apartment has two bedrooms, one has one bedroom, and the reason was that I wanted to have my family, my wife and my son a little better living conditions as they had before in one bedroom because the young man was at that time already finishing the high school and they couldn't be living in one bedroom.

. Also, that house had a second apartment which we rented out to earn some income. That was the only purpose why when we bought that house, that did not change anything in my status as to my domicile or work, I still remained in Washington, D. C., where I still am.

There has been -- well, maintained by the tax authorities that it still was my domicile and as if I had intention to stay there for later. That's never been proved

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE

The same of the sa

nor have I said at any time. Also, we have bought two years ago now an extra house, a second house in Lakewood, New Jersey. By the way, I am Estonian refugee from the Communist and in Lakewood there are many Estonians living there. We want to resettle after we will be going off our jobs. My wife is working for the First National City Bank here. I with the Government in Washington, D. C. There the retirement will probably be in 1974 and even when I will reach seventy and my wife sixty-five. So my honest feeling is that I have never been resident or domiciled in New York, always have been in Washington,

I made, of course, one stupid error,

I became citizen -- what was it in -
in \$\bar{1}\$58, and the following elections for
the President, Presidential elections, I

I was not aware that that has anything to do with the taxes. Of course,

150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CONTLAND! 7-3877

er katanaskang digi pendiguna, dese industri spendanasan masar sa dikentese sakah ning disebagai pendigu integrabiga

voted in New York.

•	i	Kurgvel 13
	5	that was a studid of nine, but I com-
•	3	mitted that.
00	4	MR. COBURN: When did you vote, in
, F.)	5	what years?
	6	THE WITHESS: That was in
	7	HR. COBURN: '52?
	8	THE WITNESS: '62, yes.
	9	Unfortunately, in one of my state-
1	10	ments
	, $\frac{11}{2}$	MR. BOYLAN: '60 there was a
	12	Presidential election.
-	13	THE WITNESS: Oh, Presidental elec-
	14	tion.
	15	MR. COBURN: Did you vote in the
14	. 16	following Presidential election in '64?
•	17.	THE WITHESS: No. I vote just one time
	18:	as I recollect. I had never voted here for
	19	the Governor or the Senators because living
	20	in Washington I really don't know much
	21	about the Senators. Of course, I know the
	22	Governor, what everybody knows, but at
	23	that time I at least didn't know anything.
	24	And, as I mentioned already, that
	25	the agreement which we reached with Mr.

Hertzendo: f at the first hearing, it was just to get the burden off me.

MR. COBURN: Sir, anything that happened at the preliminary hearing is not before me today. This is a new hearing at which time the State Tax Commission will consider all of the facts anew.

The fact that you may have offered a proposed settlement which was rejected by the Bureau in no way affects the action that the State Tax Commission will take in this proceeding. And any such settlement discussions or offers will not be. considered by the State Tax Commission on this hearing.

12.

13

17

18

19

20

25

THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know whether it's necessary to add something about the meaning of me being domiciled in Washington, D. C. or not.

MR. COBURN: Sir, at this point, what I am interested in determining are the facts. If the conclusion -- at the conclusion of the hearing after Mr. Boylan cross-examines you, then you will have an

AYVID REPORTING SERVICE

protest. Did you ever file any application

25

Now, you say you paid it under

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE
130 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 1,0038, CORTLANDT 7-387

Q The date you arrived in the country?
That's right, because that was already --

questions that he asks, when he's finished cross-examining you, if you want to elaborate on any of the testimony, you will have an opportunity but I think it is best for you, and also to make a. Clear record to just answer the questions that Mr. Boylan asks. THE WITHESS: Yes, sir. When did you marry Salme? L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. When you first came where was Salme living in 1953? A In New York, Long Island City. A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One- bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing- ton? A What? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953? A No. I was received — I was received by an officer of my future working place in New York,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
questions that he asks, when he's finished cross-examining you, if you want to elaborate on any of the testimony, you will have an opportunity but I think it is best for you, and also to make a clear regord to just answer the questions that Mr. Boylan asks. THE WITHESS: Yes, sir. When did you marry Salme? When did you marry Salme? A L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. When you first came where was Salme living in 1953? A In New York, Long Island City. A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One- bedroom apartment. A What? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953? Mo. I was received — I was received by	2	MR. COBURN: Sir, just answer the
elaborate on any of the testimony, you will have an opportunity but I think it is best for you, and also to make a clear regord to just answer the questions that Hr. Boylan asks. THE WITHESS: Yes, sir. When did you marry Salme? L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. When you first came where was Salme living in 1953? In New York, Long Island City. A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One- bedroom apartment. A Mhat? Did you immediately go to Washing- ton? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953?	3	questions that he asks, when he's finished
will have an opportunity but I think it is best for you, and also to make; a clear record to just answer the questions that Mr. Boylan asks. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. When did you marry Salme? L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. Men you first came where was Salme living in 1953? In New York, Long Island City. A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One- bedroom apartment. A Mhat? Did you immediately go to Washing- ton? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953?	•	elaborate on any of the testimony, you
clear regord to just answer the questions 10 that Mr. Boylan asks. 10 THE WITHESS: Yes, sir. 11 Q When did you marry Salme? 12 A L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. 13 Q When you first came where was Salme 14 living in 1953? 15 A In New York, Long Island City. 16 Q And she had an apartment there? 17 A She had a one-room apartment there. One- 18 bedroom apartment. 19 Q And did you immediately go to Washing- 20 ton? 21 A What? 22 Q Did you immediately go to Washington 23 in 1953?	6	will have an opportunity but I think it
that Mr. Boylan asks. 10 THE WITHESS: Yes, sir. 11 Q When did you marry Salme? 12 A L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. 13 Q When you first came where was Salme 14 living in 1953? 15 A In New York, Long Island City. 16 Q And she had an apartment there? 17 A She had a one-room apartment there. One- 18 bedroom apartment. 19 Q And did you immediately go to Washing- 20 ton? 21 A What? 22 Q Did you immediately go to Washington 23 in 1953? 24 A No. I was received I was received by	8"	is best for you, and also to make a
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Q When did you marry Salme? L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. When you first came where was Salme living in 1953? In New York, Long Island City. A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One-bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing-ton? A What? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953?	9	that Mr. Boylan asks.
When did you marry Salme? A L married Salme in Estonia in 1928. When you first came where was Salme living in 1953? In New York, Long Island City. A In New York, Long Island City. A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One-bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing-ton? A What? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953? A No. I was received I was received by	10 .	THE WITHESS: Yes, sir.
Q When you first came where was Salme living in 1953? In New York, Long Island City. A In New York, Long Island City. A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One-bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing-ton? A What? Q Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953?	12	Q When did you marry Salme?
living in 1953? In New York, Long Island City. A In New York, Long Island City. A She had an apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One- bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing- ton? A What? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953? A No. I was received I was received by	13	
A She had a one-room apartment there? A She had a one-room apartment there. One- bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing- ton? A What? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953? Was received I was received by	14	living in 1953?
A She had a one-room apartment there. One- bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing- ton? A What? Q Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953? A No. I was received I was received by	15 16 "	
bedroom apartment. Q And did you immediately go to Washing- ton? A What? Did you immediately go to Washington in 1953? Was received I was received by	17	A She had a one-room apartment there.
20 ton? 21 A What? 22 Q Did you immediately go to Washington 23 in 1953? 24 A No. I was received I was received by	18	bedroom apartment.
20 ton? 21 A What? 22 Q Did you immediately go to Washington 23 in 1953? 24 A No. I was received I was received by	19	Q And did you immediately go to Washing.
22 Q Did you immediately go to Washington 23 in 1953? 24 A No. I was received I was received by	20	ton?
23 in 1953? 24 A No. I was received I was received by	21	A What?
24 A No. I was received I was received by	22	Q Did you immediately go to Washington
	23 24 25	A No. I was received I was received by

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

VICESTALLES

and the first of the control of the

					*
. i	A STATE OF THE STA	I Tarakinan	وينيه والمهرجين	Kurgvel	18
	•	2	which	was the port of entry for me, a	nd I was
1	english gives in virgit	3	allowe	ed to stay over the weekend with	my family.
		4	* 'A	Q You mean you had an escor	t down to
	6)	5	Washir	ngton?	
		6	Λ	No escort.	12 XXX 47
		8: (A	Q From your employer? Pardon?	
		9		Q You were met by someone?	
		10	Α	I was met because I didn't know	anything
	7. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	12	here.	'Q : Whom do you work for in Wa	shington?
		13	Α	For the U. S. Government, Depart	tment of the
		14	Army.		
		15	A	Q The Army?	
Α,		17		Q Someone from the Army met	you at the
		18	pier;	is that what you are saying?	
7		19	Α	Well, at the not pier. I ca	me by plane.
		20 -		Q At the air field?	
		21	A	At the airport.	en e
	P3.	22	• .	Q Met you at the air field	·
$\langle p_i^2 \rangle$	1.0	23 o o Y o	Α,,,,,	At the air field, yes.	اري. د منځورې دو در دځا د ماده درودوو اور دود د روه د دار
		24 25	in Ne	Q And then you only spent w York?	the weekend

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE 50 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CORTLANDT 7-3877

2	. А	That's right.
3 : 4	A	Q And then you went to Washington?
	1. 60 . 7 . 3	Washingotn, D. C.
3		Q And that week you got some accommo-
6	datio	ns from Washington?
7 8	A	Yes, I was in the hotel the first few and then I got accommodations.
9	THE PART OF THE	Q And I understand you have a fur-
10	nishe	d room in Washington?
. 11	A	That's right, yes.
:12		Q No cooking facilities?
- 13	Α	Oh, yes, there is cooking facilities.
. 14	•	Q You have a one-room apartment? A
. 15	one-r	oom apartment?
16	A.X	I didn't get you.
17		Q Would you describe the accommoda-
18	tions	you have in Washington in terms of
19	Α	Sir, it is
20		MR. COBURN: Sir, let him finish
21		asking the question before you answer be-
22		cause the reporter can't take both at the
. 23.		same time.
21		Finish.
25		Q in the ordinary terms that you

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE
150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CORTUNDO 7-3877

apartment?

		Ku 'Agr		. 3
.2		Q Who owns the house?	•	
3	' . A : . '	The house? We both together.		
. 4	· ·	Q In both names?		No.
5.	Α	In both names.	÷	
6		Q This is a two-family house, isn't	it?	٠.
7	Α	Yes, sir.		
8:		Q And how many rooms are there in ea	.ch	
9	floor	?		
10	Α .	In upper floor there is living room, two		
11	bedro	oms and kitchen.		
, 12	•	Downstairs, living room, one bedroom and		
13	kitch	en.		of Lands
14		Q You have the one floor rented out?		
15	Α	Yes, sir.		100
16		Q Which floor?		17/2
17	Λ	The smaller apartment.		· · · .
. 18		Q Downstairs?	i.	
19	Λ .	Downstairs.		
20	· gr	Q Did you have children when you ar-		
21	rived	in this country?		
22'	Α .	Yes, sir.		
23	g	Q Two sons?		
24	Α.	Two sons, yes.		7.5%
, 2 5	•	Q They were both small in 1953?		•

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE I FO NASSAU ST., NEW.YORK, N. Y. 10038, CORTLANDT 7-387

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE 180 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CONTLANDT 7-3877

Commence of the Commence of th

One was.

8.

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

		Carolina?
	. •	THE WITHESS: That's right, yes.
3 • • •		MR. COBURN: In the same year the younger boy was going to Brooklyn Tech
.•		and living at home?
		THE WITHESS: That's right.
		• •

MR. COBURN: And living at home? THE WITHESS: That's right.

BY MR. BOYLAN:

. Q When the younger boy was on vacation from the college in South Carolina, he would come home, would he not?

Yes, sir.

15

16

And what was the situation as to the presence of the boys in the other three years, '63, '64 and'65?

The situation? The older son, as soon as he got his Master's degree in was called to the Army, and since then he is in the Army, by now a younger son --

Starting when did he go in the Army? Well, after he was graduated from college?

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

and a second control of the second of the se

11

13

..15

23

That's right. He was just graduated in that year, he got his Master's degree, but I don't remember theyear. It's sixteen years ago.

- Wouldn't it seem that he would have a Master's degree during these years? A 'Oh, yes, he got his Haster's directly after he got his Bachelor's degree he made his Master's also.
 - In what year?.
- 12 . Some sixteen years ago, because he has been in the Army now for sixteen years.
 - All right.

This is somewhat confusing since sixteen years ago refers back to 1956.

In 1962 you have him in school in South Carolina. Was that his freshman year? May I ask for your honesty that I don't know those years, I am not prepared to answer, because I didn't know that will come under discussion at all.

Well, I just want to -- what I was getting at, I didn't know it would lead to such difficulty either, I just want to know who was

. 1		
2	living in the house in Queens during these	
3	years.	75-7
4	A In the house in Queens was living my wife	
5 .	and our younger son, whose first name is Rein.	
6	O And your eldest son, when he wasn't	
7	otherwise engaged, would come home?	327
. 8	A He was always otherwise engaged. As	9
9	soon as he came from the college he was called	
10	into the Army.	
. 11	Q Yes. Once he was in the Army he	- 175 9
12	was otherwise engaged, but earlier he was in	
13	school?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q And you don't know when he went	5:23
. 16	into the Army?	
17	A He came to United States in 1951. He	
18	had his four years in Clemson , that makes	
. 19	'55. One year approximately for Master's	
: 20	makes it '56.	•
21	MR. COBURN: And then he went into	
22	the Army where he still is?	
23	THE WITHESS: Yes, then we had in '56 he	٠ مب
24	got his Master's and already, since his	and to
	address was given New York, his mother's	British

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE ISO NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CORTLANDT 7-38

1		Ku gvel
2	ado	iress, but he had his call in papers waiting
3	for	him in New York.
0		Q I don't want to confuse this further,
5	bu	t did he come to this country before you did?
. 6	A	Yes, sir.
		. MR. COBURN: I believe
	3	MR. BOYLAN: I see.
,)	NR. COBURN: I believe he testified
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)	to that.
1	1-	Q Did you come home to Queens to the
1	2 ho	ouse in Queens on weekends during these years?
	3 ^	I have been, yes, sir. As much as possible.
	4	MR. BOYLAN: I have no further ques-
	5.	tions.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6	MR. COBURN: All right. Do you
]		have any other factual testimony you
	8.	wish to give?
	9 1 9	THE WITHESS: Well, concerning
	20	this, my family's coming to the United
	21	States. The case is really strange
	22 22	case, we came in three shifts. First
:	23	came our older son, Jaan, as a student.
	24	He got a scholarship, he was a student

already in Germany.

Then came my wife and our younger son in 1952.

I, however, was postponed because I was a professional officer in the Estonian Army, the Communists wanted me, I refused to go with them, I reported that to the Immigration Authorities and I was hauled back from Immigration to United States until my employer cleared the case and I was brought here in 1953.

MR. COBURN: I just have one or two questions, sir.

10

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

25

During the years in question, did you have a driver's license?

THE WITHESS: Yes, sir. That's right.

MR. COBURN: And where was that driver's license from?

THE WITHESS: That'driver's license was from New York State.

Again, if you allow me to explain. what happened.

MR. COBURN: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: When my -- I was living

arangan ar makar at tanggan ang ar makar ang ar makar at makar at tanggan at tanggan at tanggan at tanggan at

in Washington, Lapplied three times there for driver's license, I always failed on their road test. So, I got the yearly leave, came to New York, went to driver's school here and asked that I would like to have a driver's license, I failed there. I said, "All right, let's try it here," and I got the license on the first trial. Again, I did not know at that time that that had anything to do with being domiciled here or not. MR. COBURN: Did you own a car during those years? THE WITNESS: Not during those years. 15 HR. COBURN: Just answer it. Did you have a will during those years? 18 THE WITHESS: Pardon? 19. HR. COBURN: A will. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. COBURH: And where was that will prepared? 23 THE WITHESS: In Washington, D.C. 24

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

And did your wife have

IIR. COBURN:

25

a will?

have it.

13

THE WITHESS: No, she hasn't.

MR. COBURN: Now, do you have a copy of that will?

THE WITNESS: I don't know whether

I have it with me. I am afraid I don't. MR. COBURN: All right, sir. I will give you thirty days from the date of this hearing to forward to me in Albany a photocopy of that will, if you

THE WITNESS: How many days? MR. COBURN: Thirty days from the date of the hearing.

We have now reached the stage in . the proceedings where each side has an opportunity to present such argument as to law and fact as they desire.

THE WITHESS: May I correct, sir, one question? I am not sure if I understand you fully.

You asked whether I had a car during those years. Well, at that time when I got the driver's license I was in

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

need of it, we: when I did not have the car. Later, when my older son was already in the Army and he got his commission as an officer, and was sent abroad, then he wanted to give his old car to his younger brother, but since he was so young then, we agreed in the family that I will take then legally the car for myself, and I paid \$1, and that was registered here in New York State on my name.

MR. COBURN: We have now reached the stage in the proceedings where each side has an opportunity to present such argument as to law and fact as they desire.

Would you proceed for the Bureau, please, Mr. Boylan?

MR. BOYLAN: When there are two residences, the law requires in some context that one of them be determined to be a domicile.

All things being equal, the person normally is free to elect which of the two residences he regards as his domicile.

The question is different, however, when

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE
150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CONTLAND: 7-3877

150 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK, N. Y. 10038, CONTLANDI 7-3

the notion to be arrived at is to which of two residences is truly a home when there is a great deal of difference in the quality of the two residences.

The question is not as simple to arrive at what a petitioner or any person recites as his domicile.

The question is which truly, despite what he says out of the exigencies of the moment, is to be considered, what everyone considers to be a home and which is to be considered the otherplace of residence.

" In the case of a married man with children, when the wife and matrimonial bed and the children are in one place and only the job is in another, with a furnished room, without a bath or a kitchen, it seems plain that the true home is where the house is and where the wife is and where the children arc, and not where the exigencies of making a living require him to be during the week.

> MR. COBURN: Thank you, Mr. Boylan. Sir?

18 19.

THE WITHESS: I understand that that might be very right, what you said, sir, in normal American conditions. However, I am not a normal American. I was very close to fifty when I came to this country, my wife was over forty-five, and you know the age of our children, we had to start our life from the very scratch, having nothing with us. So, I was glad -- or first my wife was glad to have a job in New York, even . before I arrived to the States. 13 # I was glad I had a job waiting for me in Washington, D. C. Of course, we would have liked to 16 have lived together, to enjoy the family life, but the circumstances were such that we could not do that. I asked my employer for help to have my family brought over to Washington, D. C. to settle there down altogether. 22 Unfortunately, they say they cannot

help in getting my wife a job there.

Then I tried figuring it out to make

manager combinate description of the contract of the state of the stat

that all on my own salary only. Already our younger son, when he finished Brooklyn Tech here, he applied for admission Physical A to the Catholic University in Washington, may be discrete actioned to sure and it D. C., but when they refused, by my author- / ity they penalized my salary, then I have to forfeit \$50 we paid for admission to the university.

And he went here to Hunter College for practically free. That was the situation.

Now, while I have been living there just in one room, having no extra bath for myself and no kitchen for myself, because we wanted to start again, we didn't want to become a burden to United States, but to furnish our own life. That's why I tried to get along with as little expenses as possible.

And that's only what gave us the possibility to buy that house in New York, to have at least my family living a little better conditions.

Besides, I am not a man who just gets

15

 $^{\circ}$ 61

17

18

19

22

Ungvel

a job and sees that he gets the money.

I am trying to do my job also.

So, it was fully enough for me to have that one room when I had the opportunity to cook there.

I have scarcely been to any restaurants in Washington, D.C., because that costs money.

I have been just preparing my meals myself and saving money like that. That's the situation.

And also, I would have liked to be here. I was not able to do that. And, therefore, I find I had to be in Washington, D. C. in the beginning, often six days a week, not five days, to earn the money.

And for one day, there was no sense to come over to New York, because that was too expensive.

19

22

25

I stayed there in that one room, if you excuse em, but I didn't enjoy the life, but that was the way to get through.

MR. COBURN: Thank you, sir. The hearing is concluded.

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE

1.	December 14, 1972	36	
2	·		
3	INDEX	, nnonces	
4	WITHESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT	RECROSS	332
5	Aleks Kurgvel 7 16		
6			re's Have
.7	EXHIBITS	PAGE	W-1
8	Department of Taxation and Finance		
9	Exhibit A in evidence	S	
10	in B in in	5	
11	" c " "	, S	
12		5	
13	The state of the s	ε	
14	man promise in the state of the	. 6	·
·•	n G n n	6	3
15	и . н. и	7	
16		7	
. 17	"De la	8	
18			
19	Petitioner's Exhibit "L" in evidence	16	
20			
21			
22			
23			\$73.6
24			Decom

RAYVIO REPORTING SERVICE

and the control of the second of the second

:

° , , , , , ,